## Herodotus 1.45.3

Herodotus describes the aftermath of Adrastus' accidental killing of Atys (1.45):<sup>1</sup>

Παρῆσαν δὲ μετὰ τοῦτο οἱ Λυδοὶ φέροντες τὸν νεκρόν ὅπισθε δὲ εἴπετό οἱ ὁ φονεύς. Στὰς δὲ οὖτος πρὸ τοῦ νεκροῦ παρεδίδου ἑωυτὸν Κροίσω προτείνων τὰς χεῖρας ἐπικατασφάξαι μιν κελεύων τῷ νεκρῷ λέγων τήν τε προτέρην ἑωυτοῦ συμφορήν καὶ ὡς ἐπ' ἐκείνη τὸν καθήραντα ἀπολωλεκὼς εἴη οὐδέ οἱ εἴη βιώσιμον. Κροῖσος δὲ τούτων ἀκούων τόν τε "Αδρηστον κατοικτίρει καίπερ ἐὼν ἐν κακῷ οἰκηίῳ τοσούτῳ καὶ λέγει πρὸς αὐτόν "Εχω ὧ ξεῖνε παρὰ σέο πᾶσαν τὴν δίκην ἐπειδὴ σεωυτοῦ καταδικάζεις θάνατον. Εἶς δὲ οὐ σύ μοι τοῦδε τοῦ κακοῦ αἴτιος εἰ μὴ ὅσον ἀέκων ἐξεργάσαο ἀλλὰ θεῶν κού τις ὅς μοι καὶ πάλαι προεσήμαινε τὰ μέλλοντα ἔσεσθαι. Κροῖσος μέν νυν ἔθαψε ὡς οἰκὸς ἦν τὸν ἑωυτοῦ παῖδα· "Αδρηστος δὲ ὁ Γορδίεω τοῦ Μίδεω οὖτος δὴ ὁ φονεὺς μὲν τοῦ ἑωυτοῦ ἀδελφεοῦ γενόμενος φονεὺς δὲ τοῦ καθήραντος ἐπείτε ἡσυχίη τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐγένετο περὶ τὸ σῆμα συγγινωσκόμενος ἀνθρώπων εἶναι τῶν αὐτὸς ἤδεε βαρυσυμφορώτατος ἐπικατασφάζει τῷ τύμβῳ ἑωυτόν.

Herodotus says that Croesus buried his son  $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$   $oin\dot{o}_{\varsigma}$   $\tilde{\eta}\nu$  (3), as Rawlinson puts it, «with such honours as befitted the occasion». No doubt he did give his son a proper funeral: would that not go without saying? Of course, redundancy and fullness of expression are among Herodotus' most endearing faults. Nevertheless, given the Greek — or rather, the more generally human — horror of fathers burying their sons, and the fact that Atys is not named in this sentence, but referred to as  $\tau \dot{o}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau o\tilde{\nu}$   $\pi a\tilde{\imath}\partial a$ , I wonder whether Herodotus wrote that Croesus buried his own son  $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma}$   $oin\dot{o}_{\varsigma}$   $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ .

The best parallels are always found in the same author and work: here we have one in the same episode. In explaining to Croesus why Tellus of Athens was the most fortu-

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Herodotus is quoted from the edition of C. HUDE (Oxford, 1927<sup>3</sup>).

Though not entirely satisfactory, this seems preferable to the alternative translations, «it is likely» (LSJ<sup>9</sup> s.v. ĕoixa) and «as was natural» (J. E. POWELL, A Lexicon to Herodotus [Cambridge, 1938], s.v. oixa). It would indeed have been unnatural in the extreme if Croesus had left his son (or anyone else who had not grievously annoyed him) unburied, and that makes his burial of Atys more than merely «likely». In short, the words are even more redundant in these translations than in RAWLINSON's.

It would not go without saying if Croesus' other son, the mute one, had died. A father who can say what he says to Atys (εἶς . . . μοι μοῦνος τυγχάνεις ἐὼν παῖς· τὸν γὰρ δὴ ἔτερον διεφθαρμένον οὐκ εἶναί μοι λογίζομαι, 1.38.2) would no doubt have been capable of having the other son buried secretly or without any of the usual ceremonies, if he had died instead of Atys.

nate of all the men he knew (1.30.4-5), Herodotus' Solon puts the survival of all of his children and grandchildren second on the list of reasons:<sup>4</sup>

Τέλλω τοῦτο μὲν τῆς πόλιος εὖ ἡκούσης παῖδες ἦσαν καλοί τε κάγαθοί καί σφι εἶδε ἄπασι τέκνα ἐκγενόμενα καὶ πάντα παραμείναντα τοῦτο δὲ τοῦ βίου εὖ ἥκοντι ὡς τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν τελευτὴ τοῦ βίου λαμπροτάτη ἐπεγένετο· κτλ.

Tellus is of course a sort of 'anti-Croesus'. The pathos of a father burying his own son fits well with the other paradoxes in the story, that Adrastus has unintentionally killed first his own brother and then the son of the one who purified him: all three acts are  $\pi a \varrho a$   $\varphi \dot{\nu} \sigma i \nu$ , though the first is far commoner than the other two. Corruption would have been easy, and might be explained in either of two ways: omission of  $\sigma \dot{\nu} x$  before  $\sigma \dot{\nu} x$ - by haplography,<sup>5</sup> and interpolation — or should we call this sort of willful deletion 'exterpolation'? — due to offense at the idea that Croesus would not have given Atys a proper funeral.

Similarly, Plato's (or Pseudo-Plato's) Hippias of Elis lists burying one's parents and being buried by one's children as essential ingredients of the most beautiful life: Λέγω τοίνυν ἀεὶ καὶ παντὶ καὶ πανταχοῦ κάλλιστον εἶναι ανδρί, πλουτοῦντι, ὑγιαίνοντι, τιμωμένω ὡπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων, ἀφικομένω εἰς γῆρας, τοὺς αὐτοῦ γονέας τελευτήσαντας καλῶς περιστείλαντι, ὑπὸ τῶν αὐτοῦ ἐκγόνων καλῶς καὶ μεγαλοπρεπῶς ταφῆναι (Hip. Maj. 291d9-e2). A magnificent burial is important, but it is clearly subordinated to the question of who is burying whom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Though not impossible, haplography is obviously less likely if Herodotus wrote ἐοικὸς, as printed in the recent Teubner text of H. B. ROSÉN (Leipzig, 1987). The apparatus reads «ἐοικὸς A οἰκὸς cbMQSV».