Aeschylus, Eumenides 659

According to LSJ, Aeschylus¹ in the *Oresteia* provides the only instances of the agent-nouns $\varkappa \nu \alpha \varphi \varepsilon \acute{\nu} \varsigma$, $\tau \varrho o \varphi \varepsilon \acute{\nu} \varsigma$, and $\tau o \varkappa \varepsilon \acute{\nu} \varsigma$ used as feminines². The first two are juxtaposed in Kilissa's pompous self-advertisement in *Choe*. 760³:

κναφεύς τροφεύς τε ταὐτὸν εἰχέτην τέλος.

The third is found at the beginning of Apollo's embryological argument in *Eum.* 657-61:

καὶ τοῦτο λέξω, καὶ μάθ' ὡς ὀρθῶς ἐρῶ·
οὐκ ἔστι μήτηρ ἡ κεκλημένη τέκνου
τοκεύς, τροφὸς δὲ κύματος νεοσπόρου
τίκτει δ' ὁ θρώσκων, ἡ δ' ἄπερ ξένω ξένη
ἔσωσεν ἔρνος, οἷσι μὴ βλάψη θεός.

In comparing these two passages, I wonder whether $\tau \varrho \sigma \varphi \delta \varsigma$ is the second should not be altered to $\tau \varrho \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \delta \varsigma$. Not only is the word feminine in *Choe*. 760 – if once in the trilogy, why not twice? – it is used there in a sort of rhyming jingle, and a similar effect might be in order here as well⁴:

οὐκ ἔστι μήτης ἡ κεκλημένη τέκνου τοκεύς, τροφεὺς δὲ . . .

_

¹ Texts are quoted from D. PAGE (ed.), Aeschyli septem quae supersunt tragoediae, Oxford, 1972.

² Some might wish to connect these usages to the reversal of gender rôles which is such a prominent theme in the trilogy. There seems to be nothing at all on genders in J.L. Perpillou, *Les substantifs grecs en -εύς*, Paris 1973.

As A. F. Garvie (after Dumortier) notes on κναφεύς: «the Nurse displays a certain vanity in using the term to describe her own more menial task of washing the baby's σπάργανα» (Aeschylus, Choephori, Oxford 1986, ad loc.)

The two passages are not precisely parallel: one is the equation of two τέχναι, the other the redefinition of a biological function. Nevertheless, the juxtaposition of paired words in the first metron is striking.