One More Letter to Change: Martial 4.52.2

The couplet is transmitted without variants in all the manuscripts that contain it $(\beta\gamma)$:

Gestari iunctis nisi desinis, Hedyle, capris, qui modo ficus eras, iam caprificus eris.

Previous editors had assumed that ficus can mean ficosus, but Shackleton Bailey calls this

"manifestly inadmissible" and emends the person of the verbs in line 2:1

Gestari iunctis nisi desinis, Hedyle, capris, qui modo ficus *erat*, iam caprificus *erit*.

In his Loeb (1993), he translates:

Unless you stop riding behind a pair of goats (*capri*), Hedylus, what used to be a fig will soon be a goat fig (*caprificus*).

The word 'behind' is not in the Latin, and I think there's a hint that Hedylus may be getting his new 'figs' (=hemorrhoids) from (how to put this?) being a little too fond of goats. I also think we should probably change one more word – in fact just one more letter:

Gestari iunctis nisi desinis, Hedyle, capris, *cui* modo ficus erat, iam caprificus erit.

Now it means 'the one who used to have figs will have goat-figs' - *ficus* and *caprificus* are of course collective singulars, and 'the one' is you, Hedylus. This seems a bit clearer: the substitution of one related affliction for another, not the transformation of one into the other.

¹ "Corrections and Explanations of Martial", *CPh* 73 (1978) 273-96 = *Selected Classical Papers* (Ann Arbor, 1997) 65-94, at 70.